Bulletin of Tibetology

Vol. VIII No. 2

25 July 1971
NAMGYAL INSTITUTE OF TIBETOLOGY
GANGTOK, SIKKIM
The Bulletin of Tibetology seeks to serve the specialist as well as the general reader with an interest in this field of study. The motif portraying the Stupa on the mountain augments the dimensions of the field.

EDITORS

GYALMO HOPE NAMGYAL
T. SHERAB GYALTSHEN
NIRMAL C. SINHA
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONTENTS</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VIGNETTES OF DECAYING BUDDHISM</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. K. MAJUMDAR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRAGUE COLLECTION OF TIBETAN PRINTS FROM DERGE</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOSEF KOLMAS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INDIA'S TRADE WITH CENTRAL ASIA VIA NEPAL</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAHAR SEN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONTRIBUTORS IN THIS ISSUE—

A. K. MAJUMDAR  Professor of Indian History & Culture and Joint Director, Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan, Bombay; leading scholar on Indian religions and religious movements.

JOSEF KOLMAS Senior Research Fellow: Oriental Institute of the Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Prague; began as a Sinologist; later studied Tibetan language and culture with Professor Yu Tei-chun in Peking (1957-1959); specializes in political and cultural history of Tibet and in the history of Sino-Tibetan relationships.

JAHAR SEN Lecturer in History, Baraset College, Baraset, West Bengal; specializes in Nepali language and culture; engaged in a research programme of University of Calcutta on India-Nepal relations in the nineteenth century.

*Views expressed in the Bulletin of Tibetology are those of the contributors alone and not of the Namgyal Institute of Tibetology. An article represents the private individual views of the author and does not reflect those of any office or institution with which the author may be associated.*

4
The Sanyukta-nikaya records Buddha's premonition about the decline of the future. He said: 'Monks will no longer wish to hear and learn the truths proclaimed by the Tathagata, deep, deep in meaning, reaching beyond the world, dealing with the void, but will only lend their ear to the profane truths proclaimed by poets, poetical, adorned with beautiful words and syllables.'

The truth of these words is borne out by the literature of later Buddhism. It is, however, difficult to find out from these texts the exact history of decay; non-Buddhist sources too are not very helpful, still a few incidents recorded in the biographies of saints give a partial picture of the discomfiture of the Buddhists, and these are given below.

A distant echo of Buddha's warning is probably perceptible in the Yoga-samhita, which states: 'The high state which Buddha had attained by his patience, and from which the Arihats fall to scepticism by his impatience...' Possibly this statement contains a veiled reference to the tantric practices. However, the attitude of the Yoga-samhita towards Buddhism is somewhat equivocal, but in any case though it is basically a pre-Sankara advaita text, it is not unduly severe on Buddhism, though at times critical.

Hostility towards Buddhism is evident in Sankara's writings (c. A.D. 788-803), which are too well known to be recalled here, but some incidents are related in Sankara's biographies which are not so well known, though it is difficult to say as to how far they are reliable; like the biographies of Buddha these are full of marvellous supernatural stories. However, these anecdotes may have some basis or at least may be considered to reflect the popular religious attitude from a certain angle.

According to Sankara's biographies he converted to his view people belonging to various sects, such as Bhagavatas, Pancarattas, Valkarnasa, Vaidheasas, Kapalikas, a Kshapamaka, a Carvaka, worshippers of Hiranyagarbha, Fire, Sun, Kvera, Indra, Yama, Vayu, Earth, Sky,
Yahya, Manu, Candra, Mangala, Pitribhoka, Anantadeva, Siddha, and Vetah, as well as Jainas, Madhyamikas and Vijnanavadin.

The first problem is whether there were so many religious sects by the end of the 8th century? If this situation is accepted as generally valid, it has to be concluded that by this time influence of Buddhism (Jainism probably never had a large following) was distinctly on the wane, and the religious situation was analogous to what Buddhism found, namely, people following diverse creeds and divided into a large number of sects.

It is also interesting that the biographies mention only the Madhyamikas and the Vijnanavadins as having had disputes with Sankara. This seems to be partly corroborated by the fact that it is only the views of these two sects among the Buddhists which Sankara has combated in his commentary on the Brahma-sutra (II. ii. 18-31) where he refers to the Madhyamikas as Sunyavadins. It may be, therefore, permissible to conclude that by the end of the 8th century and the beginning of the 9th only the Madhyamika and Vijnana schools among the systems of Buddhist philosophy really counted, or had enough adherents to attract Sankara's notice as worthy antagonists of his Advaita doctrine. It should be noted that the other sects mentioned above are said to have been converted, but there is no indication that they had developed systematic philosophies of their own, with the possible exception of the Carvakas.

The Jaina too seems to have taken advantage of the decreasing popularity of the Buddhists, and attempted to establish their creed at the latter's expense. According to a tradition current in the Jaina Haritara-gaccha, this particular gaccha (order) was established as the result of a debate held in the court of the Cauhalya king Durbariyan of Gujarat (V.S. 1066-1080). This is learnt from Jnanavimala who, while giving the spiritual lineage of the Haritara-gaccha to which he belonged, states that in A.D. 1024 the great Jaina monk Vadhamanu Suri and his disciple Jinesvara defeated the Cautiyavins in a debate held in the court of Durbariyan. After their defeat, the Cautiyavins, in conformity with the pre-arranged stipulations, left the capital of Gujarat, and Durbariyan pleased with the acumen of Jinesvara conferred on him the title 'Kharanata' (the very keen), the name which their order adopted later. The Cautiyavins seem to have been identical with the Cautiyavins mentioned in Patanjali's and Amarapura inscriptions.

It seems that we have a reference to this incident in the following verse in the Dyana-sahasra (VII.64) which is a chronicle of the
Candukya Kings of Gujarat written by the famous Hemacandra Suri (A.D. 1092-1177): "Being conversant with the categories of Jaina metaphysics, he (Durabha) paid homage to the learned saint; he repudiated the axioms (of the Buddhists) and thereby obtained purity himself." Commenting on his verse, Abhaya Shankara Gazi, who belonged to the Khachatara-yagya, specifically mentions that Durabha learnt the tenets of Jainsim from Jinesvara Suri, though he does not mention the celebrated debate mentioned above. Thus it may be said that Buddhism as a spiritual force was extinguished in Gujarat in A.D. 1074. It may be added here that Jainism became very popular in Gujarat and western India due to the patronage of Jain monks by Durabha's successors; some of these monks like Hemacandra, were scholars of outstanding merit. In contrast we do not hear of any great Buddhist scholar during or after this period.

In South India, the ebbing fortunes of Buddhism seemed to have sunk further as a result of what may be called in modern terms, propaganda tour of the Bengali saint Caitanya (A.D. 1486-1533). It is learnt from Caitanya's biographies, that, starting from Rajahmundry he traversed the entire south till he reached Rameswaram; then he proceeded north through Kevala till he reached Gujarat. In course of this long journey, he halted at many places, and though one may regard the ecstatic description of the biographer, that Caitanya converted entire villages to his faith, with some amount of scepticism, there can be no doubt of the strong impression created by the imposing personality of this young handsome monk.

An interesting account is available in Caitanya's biography about his encounter with the Buddhists. It is said that at a village near Vridhachali (modern Vridhachalam in South Avar District on the Vellar river, Tamil Nadu) Caitanya held discussions with the followers of the different systems of orthodox philosophy, and convinced them of their mistake, wherever they turned Vai-savas. Possibly such debates were held elsewhere also, but here Caitanya met the Buddhists for the first time in his life. Apparently he had met no Buddhist in Bengal, or what is more probably had avoided them. It is also likely that the degraded Buddhism of this period were treated contemptuously and they had kept away from Caitanya, a high caste Brahmana. An idea of the prevailing attitude towards the Buddhist can be gleaned from a remark of Krishnadasa Kaviraja, the most celebrated biographer of Caitanya. While describing Caitanya's meeting with the Buddhists, the Kavi says in an introductory verse that "though the Buddhists should..."
spoken to me, looked upon the Master in his mercy tried to crush their pride. The Kāviṅjīra probably completed his work in A.D. 1372, but it would not be unfair to assume that he was recording not only the contemporary attitude towards the Buddhists, but that of several generations which preceded him.

It may be recalled here that Kauṭilya probably held similar opinion about the Buddhists. In the Arthasastra (III. 15.16) he states: 'For the receiver of the family treasure denying it, for one forcibly violating a widow living by herself, for a Āsura touching an Ārya lady, for one not rushing to rescue (another) close by in distress, for one rushing without cause (and) for one feeding Sakya, Ajīvikas and other heretical monks at rites in honour of gods and manes, the fine should be one hundred ānūṣṭhānās. Scholers are unanimous that the Sākya in this passage means a Buddhist, and authoritative opinion at present holds that Kauṭilya was the prime minister of Chandragupta Maurya. Thus it seems that the attitude towards the Buddhists turned a full circle in about one thousand years.

As for the dispute with the Buddhists, Cāṇḍaṇa refuted all their arguments and greatly to their chagrin disinformed them in public. Indeed it appears from the biography, that Cāṇḍaṇa was backed by overwhelming popular support, which is quite likely, for there is no indication that Buddhists at this period enjoyed any greater popularity in the south than what they had in the north.

However, the biography by the Kāviṅjīra then proceeds to relate the final act of injustice by the Buddhists and the swift retribution. After their ignominious defeat, the Buddhists hatched a nefarious plot, and offered Cāṇḍaṇa some impure food. But suddenly a large bird intervened, and picking up the plate with its beak dropped it from a height scattering the polluted rice on the Buddhists, and as the plate fell it struck the Buddhist acārya with such force that he fainted. His disciples then raised loud cries of lamentation, and prayed to Cāṇḍaṇa to restore their preceptor. Cāṇḍaṇa asked them to chant the name of Śrīkūśa, and as all of them performed Śrīkūśa-.CenterScreen, the Buddhist teacher came to his senses with the name of Hari on his lips.

This anecdote may be compared with similar anecdotes with which the life of Buddha abounds. After discounting the miraculous part of such stories, possibly a hard core of truth remains, which is vindicated by the ensuing trends. There is no doubt that Buddhism
spread at the expense of orthodox Brahmanical faith, and later it vanished altogether from the land of its birth. These anecdotes possibly contain the kernels of truth, and the miracles associated with such stories have preserved them from oblivion.

It has been asserted that the entire story of Caitanya’s encounter with the Buddhists must be apocryphal, since Buddhism had by this time disappeared from South India. This objection, however, is misconceived, for there are evidences of lingering Buddhism. The Kumbhakonam inscription of Swappa Nayaka of Tanjore (A.D. 1281), records the gift of some land in the agrahara (Brahmana village) of Tirumaliyapuram to an individual attached to a temple of Buddha at Tiruvilendur. But even more surprising, I, not somewhat perplexing, is the direction given for the construction of an image of Buddha in Gepula-Bhatta’s Hari-bhakti-tilasa, (XVIII 181; 1246-47) which is considered by the Gaudiya Vaishnavas (followers of Caitanya) as their standard smriti work. Gopala-bhatta describes dhyani Buddha as sitting in the lotus posture, dressed in a kusa (fawn red) cloth, with a cīrāta on his shoulders; the height of the image should be ten units (talam) with long ears, jewellike navel, lotus-like eyes, raising from deep meditation with raised hands and the gaze of a yogin.

A possible explanation for the inclusion of a Buddha image in a Vaishnava text may have been the acceptance of Buddha as an avatāra of Vishnu. The Dvārakat♠ata of Jayadeva’s Gita-govinda is particularly famous; here Buddha is hailed as the incarnation of Vishnu in which he stopped the cruel practice of slaughtering animals in ritualistic sacrifices. The Gaudiya-Vaishnavas regarded this text as authoritative, and this may have inclined them to include the details of Buddha’s image in their text. It may be noted here that late Puranas describe Buddha as an incarnation of Vishnu born to delude the bad people to perdition through his false doctrine, that is, Buddhism.

There are indications, that at least in eastern India Vaishnavism, particularly Gaudiya Vaishnavism, accommodated the Buddhists, and possibly the mechanism of conversion included the delibration of Buddha and denunciation of his creed. So far as we know, the intersecting history of integration of the later Buddhists with the Vaishnavas has not yet been properly studied. It is known however, that Caitanya himself did some pioneering work in this regard in Orissa, where the Buddhists had already developed certain peculiar creeds such as worship of formless pinda-Brahman with the help of Tantra, along with Radha and Krishna.
Indeed the worship of Radha and Krishna was much anterior to Caitanya who popularised it.

For example, the following verse ascribed to Dimboka in the Subhasitaramakosa may be quoted:

The pilgrims in the street have ward off the painful cold with their broad quilts sewn of a hundred rags; they break the morning slumber of the city folk with songs of the secret love of Madhava and Radha.

It may be noted that Visvakara, the author of this anthology was a Buddhist scholar of Bengal who lived in the latter half of the eleventh century, that is, when the Pala emperors were still controlling the destiny of eastern India. It is evident from the verse quoted above that the Radha Krishna worshippers were poor people who had to sleep in the streets under their patched quilts in winter nights. That they were looked down upon by the intellectual elite as a group of unprincipled people is evident from the writings of Gangesa Upadhyaya of Mithila (c. A.D. 1100), the celebrated founder of the Naya-nyaya. Next to nothing is known about the pre-Caitanya Radha Krishna worshippers. The onearg evidence at present available points to the possibility that they were Buddhists.

FOOT NOTES


2. Upanana, 75-76. The verse mentions naga which is explained in the commentary as ‘Buddha’; but the verse mentions ‘Kosir apsa’ which is explained in the commentary as a ‘prince called Artati’. I have here followed the English translation by Vihari Lal Mitra, Calcutta, 1898.

3. Several Sanskrit biographies of Sankara are available. I am here following the Bengali biography of Sankara by Rajendralal Ghosh (Satasahitya Sahitya, O Ramana, Calcutta, 1889) which is practically based on Anandagiri’s Sankara-Vijaya. But the B.1. edition of the Sankara-vijaya (1868) which I am using does not mention the Madhvyamikas and the Vijayavacchus. Possibly Rajendralal Ghosh got these details from some other biography which is not available to me. Unfortunately those pages of the introduction where Ghosh discussed his sources are missing in my copy.


RGYAN-DRUG MCHOG-GNYIS (Six Ornaments and Two Excellents) reproduces ancient scroll (1670 A.C.) depicting Buddha, Nagarjuna, Aryadeva, Asanga, Vasubandhu, Dignaga, Dharmakirti, Gunaprabha, and Sakya-prabha; reproductions are as per originals today after 300 years of display and worship with no attempt at restoration or retouching. The exposition in English presents the iconographical niceties and the theme of the paintings, namely, the Mahayana philosophy; the treatment is designed to meet also the needs of the general reader with an interest in Trans-Himalayan art or Mahayana. A glossary in Sanskrit-Tibetan, a key to place names and a note on source material are appended. Illustrated with five colour plates and thirteen monochromes.

April, 1962.
PRAGUE COLLECTION OF TIBETAN PRINTS FROM DERGE

—JOSEF KOLMAŠ

The collection of Tibetan texts—printed books, xylographs, and manuscripts—kept in the Tibetan Section of the Library of the Oriental Institute of the Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Prague, is relatively small, both with regard to the vast extent of Tibetan literary production itself and in comparison with much richer and more representative collections of Tibetan texts in other centres of Tibetan studies in the world.

Apart from a small collection of Tibetan manuscripts and blockprints, and a number of Tibetan books printed and bound in European way, such as new Tibetan publications and/or reprints of older indigenous works, edited in recent years in China or in India, our biggest and most invaluable collection of Tibetan texts is represented by the complete set of the Holy Kanjur and Tanjur of the Derje (Sde-dge) edition, consisting of 316 volumes (including two Index-volumes). This collection was acquired by the present writer in 1928 in the East Tibetan town of Derje with the Peking National Library acting as intermediary.

Another collection of our Tibetica, perhaps unique in Europe, is represented by 5,615 title leaves of Tibetan works turned out by the two monastic printing-houses in Derje, viz. the Dgyon-chen monastery and the Dpal-spungs monastery. Again, it was acquired by the present author during his stay in China in 1928–1932. As it stands now, the collection represents an extremely useful bibliographical guide for the study of Tibetan literature which, unfortunately, in general remains as unknown and inaccessible for us as Tibet itself.

It was my teacher of Tibetan, Professor Yu Tao-ch'uan of the Central Academy of Nationalities, Peking, who had suggested to me to buy in addition to the Kanjur and Tanjur—also a whole set of Tibetan texts printed at the Dgyon-chen and Dpal-spungs monasteries of Derje.

Dgyon-chen or "Great Monastery" belonging to the Sa-skya-pa order, is known for its large printing establishment (Sde-dge par-shang Chos-mdets chen-mo), opened in the first half of the 18th century during the illustrious reign of the King Bstan-pa-thse-ring of Derje (1678–1738). In this pace the Kanjur and Tanjur collections, as well as numerous
Dpal-spungs or “Heap of Glory”, a Bk’rgyud-pa monastery near Derge (founded by Si-tu Bstan-pal-snyin-byed in 1727), is celebrated for its excellent edition of the voluminous collection of Lamasu text called the Rin-chen gar-mchod or “Repository of Jewels”, compiled in the 19th century, as well as for printing the Rnying-ma-pa texts.

Naturally, Yu Tao-ch’uan’s suggestion was a most attractive one, but the cost of acquiring the complete texts of these works was too considerable to be undertaken at that time. I have therefore resolved to buy the title leaves (or, cover-print) only. At that time it was only the Peking National Library which ordered the full set of these texts in order to have them deposited with other Tibetan holdings in the library. To facilitate, in the future, the borrowing of a pertinent work in the Peking National Library, an identical numbering was employed between the texts kept in Peking and the title leaves preserved in Prague.

As to the copies kept in Peking, unfortunately I am not in a position to tell with certainty what happened to them during the subsequent troubles. Nor is it known to me how far they have been further processed. The only surviving link between the two collections in Peking and in Prague is in fact their common numerical designation (group numbers and item numbers), which it thus became imperative for the editor to preserve in his edition. If one day the Library in Peking becomes accessible again, it will be possible to examine the works listed in this catalogue quite easily.

With only the title leaves to consult, it was practically impossible for me to present more information on the character of the respective works and other useful data concerning the author/editor, place and year of edition, etc., usually to be gathered from the colophons at the end of a Tibetan book. I have therefore collected only the basic general data on the respective group of texts, its author/compiler (if known), number of volumes and the total number of individual items. Also indicated is the original numerical marking (Prague-Peking numbers) for the benefit of a potential user of my catalogue. Moreover, to facilitate the reference, all the texts have been provided with consecutive numbering (Serial numbers from 1 through to 1,615; cf. a similar system used by Lokesh Chandra in his Materials for a History of Tibetan literature, New Delhi 1963).
Followings is a summary list of the Prague collection of Tibetan prints from Derge.

The DGON-CHEN COLLECTION consists of 1,199 items divided into the following twenty groups:


(1) 西域薩迦巴著 COLL Collection of works and commentaries dealing with the so-called "new tantras" (rgyud-gur-mey); A Sa-skya-pa work by different authors. Initiated by Jam-dbyangs Mchlen-brtse'i-dbang-po and Kun-dga-bsit-pa'i-rgyal-mtshan (1870-1891), but the major part of the work was performed by his student, Ngor-pa dpam-slob Jam-dbyangs Blo-gser-dbang-po (ca. 1866-ca. 1914). 12 vols., 241 items.

(5) 西域薩迦巴著 COLL Collection of sadhanas and related texts of the Vajrayana tradition of Tibet, compiled by Jam-dbyangs Mchlen-brtse'i-dbang-po Kun-dga-bsit-pa'i-rgyal-mtshan (1870-1923) 14 vols., 335 items.


(1) 西域薩迦巴著 COLL A selection of the first translations of Tantras (rgyud-gur 'dum) and other works by different authors, including some of the writings of Kun-mchlen 'Jig-med-gling-pa Rang-'byung-rdo-rje Mchlen-cprise l-sod-zer (1917-1978). 3 divisions, 68 items.

(6) 西域薩迦巴著 COLL Complete works of Rgyal-ras Thogs-med-bzang-pc-dpal (1752-1769) and other works (e.g. 'phags-pa'i, Rin-ge-snyen etc.). 4 divisions, 56 items.

(7) 西域薩迦巴著 COLL Complete works of Mnyam-med D迂-bc Abd-gshon-ma (1079-1159), also styled D迂-bc las-rgyal or Sgam-po-pa. 2 vols., 36 items.

(8) 西域薩迦巴著 COLL Small-size prints (Miscellanea). 13 divisions, 71 items.
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(9) **Sanskrit** Medium-size prints (Miscellanea). 10 divisions, 61 items.
(10) **Sanskrit** Avodana of Dipal-ldan-chen-skong and other works. 1 division, 4 items.
(11) **Sanskrit** Miscellaneous books. 56 divisions, 135 items.
(12) **Sanskrit** Complete works of Jam-mgon Mi-plam-jam-dbyangs-rin-po-che. 18 vols., 166 items.
(13) **Sanskrit** Works on medicine. 7 divisions, 55 items.
(14) **Sanskrit** Minor texts by different authors, including the **Sanskrit** by the Ruying-ma-pa author Kun-mkhyen Klong-chen Rab-’byams-pa Dri-med-'od-zer (1338-1416). 1 division, 35 items.
(15) **Sanskrit** Commentaries on the Prajnaparamita texts by the Sa-skya-pa author Mikhan-chen G. yag-ten Snga-gyes-dpal (14th cent.). 9 vols., 14 items.
(16) **Sanskrit** Basic philosophical works on the Lam’bras doctrine edited by and printed through the efforts of Ngur-pa dpal-gnyen Jam-dbyangs Blo-bgtser-dbang-po Chos-khrims-rgya-mtsho (1878-1924). 23 vols., 166 items.
(17) **Sanskrit** Collection of minor texts dealing with different aspects of Lamaist mysticism, doctrine, etc. 6 divisions 256 items.
(19) **Tibetan** The writing of Jam-mgon A-mes-shabs Kun’dga-tsul-nams, the well-known historiographer of the Sa-skya-pa sect (16th-17th cent.), and other works. 2 divisions, 23 items.
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The writings of Kun-mkhyen G-o-lo Rab-byams-pa Bsdud-nams-seng-gce (1419-1489), an eminent scholar of the Sa-skya-pa sect, together with some works of his teacher, Nge-chen Kun-dga-bzang-pa (1387-1499), 18 vols., 91 items. The DPAL—SPUNG COLLECTION, larger in extent, consists of 3,316 titles divided into fifteen groups:

1.5 Collection of Rnying-ma-pa texts, called the gSras-pa by its compilers, or Repository of Jewels (or, Treasury of Revelations), prepared at the order of Jam-mgon Kong-spar Rins-pa-che Yon-tan-grwa-nmtabo (1813-1899). 61 vols., 1,844 items.

2.5 Collection of opus-de-texts, called the Treasury of Religious Instructions) granted by great masters of India and Tibet; a Bka’rgnyud-pa work collected by Jam-mgon Kong-spar Rins-pa-che Yon-tan-grwa-nmtabo (1813-1899). 10 vols., 346 items.

3. Collection of mantras. 3 vols., 79 items.

4.5 or grinder Collection of Rnying-ma-pa works of higher mystic contemplation. 1 division, 26 items.

5.5 Collected works of Rgyal-dbang Karmapa, Miksi’khyab-rdo-rje (1768/1771-1874), the fifteenth head-lama of the Bka’rgnyud-pa sect. 11 vols., 135 items.

6. Miscellaneous books. 460 items divided into forty-six sections.

7. Miscellaneous books on grammar, etymology, poetics, astrology; biographies, eulogies, etc.). 6 divisions, 427 items.

8. Small-size books (Varia). 1 division, 268 items.

NOTES

1. Described in my Tibetan Manuscripts and Blockprints in the library of the Oriental Institute Prague, Prague 1969. Since the publication of this catalogue, the number of Tibetan xylographs in our collection has increased considerably through recent acquisitions made by the author during his stay in India (February-April, 1969) which he visited under the Cultural Exchange Programme.

2. Some of these have already been announced in my short bibliographical notices, "On Some More Recent Tibetanistac Publications Edited in the Chinese People’s Republic", archiv orientali 29 (Prague 1961), pp. 479-475, and "Tibetan Literature in China", ibid. 10 (1962), pp. 638-644. The "China Collection" of Tibetan books and newspapers in private possession of the author consists of 266 items divided into four groups: Language (script, phonetics, grammar, text—books, dictionaries—31 items in all), Literature (belles-lettres, instructional literature, etc.—24 items), Translations (mostly from the Chinese originals—188 items), and Newspapers (44 different titles). I hope one day to be able to give a more detailed description of this rare collection of Tibetan books.


SOME PUBLICATIONS
FROM
NAMGYAL INSTITUTE OF TIBETOLOGY

PRAJNA or the famous Sanskrit-Tibetan Thesaurus-cum-Grammar was compiled by Tenzing Gyaltsen, a Khampa scholar educated in Nyingma and Sakya schools of Derje, in 1771 A.C. Though this book was preserved in xylograph few copies of the block-prints are found outside Tibet. The lexicon portions are now presented in modern format with Tibetan words in Tibetan script and Sanskrit words in Sanskrit script with an elaborate foreword by Professor Nalinaksha Dutt.

October 1961.

The entire xylograph (637 pp: 21 inches x 4 inches) containing both lexicon and grammar parts is now presented by offset (photo-mechanic); most clear reproduction of any Tibetan xylograph ever made anywhere. A table of typographical errors etc., found in the original (xylograph), compiled by late lamented Gegan Palden Gyaltsen (Mentsikhang: Lhasa and Enchay: Gangtok) makes the present publication an improvement upon the original.

November 1962.
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India's Trade with Central Asia via Nepal

Jahar Sen

On the basis of some references in Mahavamsa, Tingsheng, and Kautilya's Arthasastra, Bal Chandra Sharma, a reputed historian of Nepal, has held that the beginning of India's commercial relation with Nepal and Tibet can be pushed back as early as fifth century B.C. Chang K'ien, the Chinese general and explorer of Central Asia in the second century B.C., testified that there was a trade between northeastern India and South-western China in Chinese silk cloth and Chinese bamboo flutes, among other things. These were brought into Eastern India and were carried through the entire length of North India to as far west as Afghanistan and Central Asia. Suniti Kumar Chatterjee states that Indo-Mongoloids were the intermediaries in this trade.* It may be conjectured that these Indo-Mongoloids included both the people of India and Nepal. From the accounts of Yuan Chwang, the Tang annals and other sources, continuous flow of commerce in the overland trade route from Bihar to Tibet and China through Nepal has also been conjectured.

In 187 Ralph Fitch noticed the trade between Bengal, Nepal, Bhutan and Tibet and the caravan of merchants coming from China, Tartary and Persia. The detailed description of an interesting ledger of an Armenian merchant named Hovhannes Joughayets gives a pen picture of the commercial link between India, Nepal and Tibet in the closing decades of the seventeenth century. The narratives of Father Della Penna* and Father Isopoito Desideri in the early eighteenth century also confirmed that intercourse between India and Tibet via Nepal was free and unrestricted. Flourishing trade was carried on between India and Tibet through the passes of Nepal. The Kashmiri merchants carried their goods by Ladhik to the Kuri passes to procure wool from there. From this point, their manufactured goods were sent partly to Tibet, partly to China by Siring and partly to Patna through Nepal. The Tibetan merchants brought woollen cloths, ponies, shawl goats, yaks, sheep, mugs, salt, borax, gold, silver and paper to Kathmandu. The Lama of Tibet also sent much bullion to the Nepal mint. The Indian merchants carried cotton cloth, cutlery, glassware, coral, pearls, spices, camphor, betel and hardware. These were sent to Tibet through the
passes of Nepal. George Bogle in his letter dated 20 August 1774 written from Tashitud to Warren Hastings Bar the trade between India and Tibet was "carried on by the way of Patna and Nepal through the means of Moghuls and Kashmiris..." Subsequently in his report on the "Trade of Tibet" he further stated that the merchants of Kashmir "like Jews in Europe or Armenians is the Turkish empire" scattered themselves over the Eastern Kingcloses of Asia and carried on extensive trade between distant parts. They disposed of their commodities in Tibet or forwarded to their associates in Sining, a town on the Chinese border. The commodities of Bengal were also conveyed to Tibet through Morton and Sikkim. The Gansu after their expulsion from Nepal usually frequented this route. Besides these, another road leading from Benares and Wrazapur passed through Mustang. The more valuable sorts of Bengal goods were sometimes exported into Tibet by this route. Thus it is evident that the trade between India and Central Asia was carried on freely before the ascendency of the Gurkhas in Nepal in 1769. Since then the trade sharply declined.

The East India Company, however, was intensely eager to revive this trade. The Kinkeshi expedition (1767) and the Logan Mission (1769) were despatched to Nepal with this end in view. Bogle in his general reports to Warren Hastings on his return from Tibet emphatically pointed out, "The opening of the road through Nepal and obtaining the abolition of the duties and exactions which have lately been imposed on trade in that country appears an object of great importance towards establishing a free communication between Bengal and Tibet." Samuel Turner, the leader of the Second Trade Mission to Tibet in 1783, recommended the use of Kathmandu-Kut route for this purpose. In 1779 Tashi Lama also wrote to the King of Nepal to open its trade-routes to encourage unrestricted traffic between India and Tibet. The Mission of Captain Kirkpatrick (1793) and Mudali Abdul Kadir (1792) and the instructions to Captain Knox, the first British Resident in Nepal (1781), simply bear out how deeply anxious the Government of India was to garner all possible data on the basis of which it could formulate its commercial policy towards Nepal and the adjoining areas of Central Asia. Brian Houghton Hodgson, the Resident in Nepal (1831-1834) had a fond dream to convert Nepal from an interposing obstacle into a common mart where the merchants of Hindustan might interchange their commodities with the traders of Inner Asia. The competition for Central Asian Commerce, according to him, lay between the trade-route from Peking to St. Petersburgh on the north and that from Peking to India via the Nepal
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passes on the south. He advocated the expansion of the trade through the southern route. As regards the potentiality of trade with Tibet through Nepal be categorically stated. Tibet may well be believed capable of maintaining a large and valuable exchange of commodities with Great Britain, through the medium of our Indian subjects and the people of Nepal. With striking details and comparative analysis Hodgson pointed out that a Central Asian trade through Nepal was "capable of an easy, immediate and considerable extension." So be urged, "Let the native merchants of Calcutta and Nepal, separately and in concert take up this commerce."

II

After the conclusion of the Anglo-Sikkimese Treaty of 1861, the attention of the Government of India was focussed on Sikkim as the main channel to carry out its Central Asian Policy. A systematic attempt was made in 1864 to assess the volume and nature of trade with Sikkim, Tibet, Bhutan and Nepal for four years from 1866 to 1869. The Bengal Government recommended that the East Bengal Railway Company should be allowed to extend their line from Kursia to the Teesta Valley. "Should this proposition be carried out there is no doubt of a very extensive and important trade springing up, especially with Tibet and Nepal." In 1863, the Superintendent of Darjeeling suggested that a road through Sikkim to Tibet should be constructed to facilitate the establishment of an annual fair at Goke near Darjeeling. In October 1864 Colonel Haughton, the Commissioner of Cool conduct strongly urged the cultivation of friendship with the Lamas of Tibet. In July 1870 he submitted a report on the subject of extension of trade with Tibet and China and suggested that first, the Governments of China should be asked to remove all restrictions on the free passage of merchants and travellers and secondly the Rajah of Sikkim should be requested to procure from Tibet authentic information as to the duties on the imports and prohibition, if any, of the importation of any particular articles. The Government of India sanctioned these proposals. In January 1871, the British Minister at Peking communicated that there was no possibility of opening through the Government of China the means of extending trade with Tibet. The Secretary of State, however, assured the Government of India that the question of opening trade route between Tibet and India would be raised in a future negotiation of a new treaty with China.

In a separate report, Haughton enumerated the following routes through which the markets of Central Asia could be approached from India: (1) via Luakh (2) via Nepal (3) via Darjeeling (4) via Brokpa
and Western Donuus (3) via Assam and Tawang (6) via Bhasso and Burma. The route most used was that through Assam and Tawang. Boughton induced some Patna businessmen, who used the Nepal route, to establish an agency at Darjeeling. Like many others he too overestimated the potentiality of Darjeeling route and expected that by this measure the traffic of the Nepal route would be diverted to Darjeeling. This might cause, he apprehended, the jealousy of the Darbar at Kathmandu. The Lieutenant-Governor of Bengal, who later paid a visit of Darjeeling, maintained, however, that the Darbar would not view the extension of trade along the Darjeeling route with jealousy.\footnote{24}

In the next year, the Government of Bengal submitted that "the Sikkim routes should be examined, since even if the trade were not thrown open to us directly any radical improvement of the road must lead to a considerable increase of indirect traffic, and perhaps by bringing the Tibetans down to the plains pave the way for a more liberal policy in the future."\footnote{25}

On 25 April 1873 a deputation from the Society of Arts in England called on Duke of Argyll, the Secretary of State, and submitted a Memorial. The Memorial suggested inter alia the development of routes through Nepal and Bhutan, improvement of these to Sikkim by extending roads to the Tibetan frontier and completion of the railway connection between Darjeeling and Calcutta for promoting commerce between India and the trans-Himalayan countries. The Secretary of State and the Government of India also "repeatedly expressed the great interest which they take in the subject of trade with Tibet and Central Asia."\footnote{26}

In the cold season of 1873-74 Ledger, the Deputy Commissioner of Darjeeling was deputed to visit Sikkim and the Tibetan frontier. He was instructed to enquire into the prospects of trade with Tibet and of the advisability of a road through Sikkim to the Tibetan frontier. He made three specific suggestions. First, the Government of India should attempt to obtain from the Government of Caju a declaration that the latter was not opposed so free intercourse between Tibet and India. Secondly, it should express strong remonstrance against the exclusion of Indian tea from Tibet. Thirdly, a road with good bridges should be constructed from Teesta Valley to the Jelep pass.\footnote{27} In this despatch No. 32 of 8 April 1874 Earl of Derby, the Secretary of State, declared that from an imperial point of view much importance should be attached to the revival of trade between India and Tibet. T.F. Wade, the British Minister at Peking, with this end in view suggested the despatch of
"a mixed official and commercial mission... without reference to the Court of Peking...."

It transpired that the opposition of the Central Government of China to commercial intercourse with the foreigners was based on some general grounds. But the Government of Ssu-Chuen, the province co-terminus with Tibet, was particularly opposed to the opening of Tibet for trade with India.
of Tibet cotton cloth alone was not sufficient. So the Manchester goods were not in demand. "An exception perhaps might be made in favour of Himalayan tea, but in such case it would not be a new demand which would be effected, but merely a change of market."

In the category of the variable facts of politics may be included the climate of tension generated by the unhappy relations between Nepal and Tibet. The trade in European manufactures was carried on by the Newars. The number of their shops at Lhasa did not exceed thirty-two. In the important towns of Tibet, their business transaction was confined to interchange of only country produce. Despite of the Nepal-Tibet treaty of 1856, particular items of trade were at times viewed with disfavour. In 1873 a consignment of opium, in which the Nepal Darbar was interested, valued at Rs. 50,000 was stopped at the border by the Tibetans. The Newar traders also were subjected to personal violence and exactions and were not free from risk to life and property. Even temporary additions to the number of personal servants and guards of the Nepalese envoys was jealously watched by the Lamas and made the ground of formal remonstrance. The Darbar was unable to prevent all these.

The Society for the encouragement of arts, manufactures and commerce cherished an opinion that "It is essential that the Indian Government shall use every effort to obtain from Nepal free passage for merchants and goods to the Tibetan frontier in return for the full and free access enjoyed by Nepal to our territories." In reply to this contention, Girdlestone advanced the following arguments.

First, though Nepal had full and free access to India, she had to purchase its European imports subject to imperial duties.

Secondly, if by "free passage" the Society meant the abolition of intermediate duties in Nepal, it was asking for greater concession than that which Nepal enjoyed.

Thirdly, in 1859 the Governor General of India declared that the Government of India assumed no right to interfere with or to advise upon the commercial policy of Nepal.

Fourthly, the utmost that could be expected in modification of this declaration is that the Nepalese Government might forgo the levy of the additional duties on imports from Kathmandu to the Tibetan border "in the hope that if trade increased it would be recouped by the increase in the customs receipts on the southern portion of the route".
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Girlestone firmly asserted, "But I have no hope that it would consent to abandon its claims to duties up to Kathmandu on goods intended for Tibet . . ." He further argued, "The information at my command gives no ground for supposing that if the British Government made overtures for the improvement of trade they would be received favourably, or that if commercial relations were established there would be any more security for the comfort and prosperity of British subjects than there is now for Nepars." "I know no reason why", he said, "unless Sir Jung Bahadur's extreme remedy of breaking down their exclusiveness by force of arms be adopted, free trade should be established in Tibet, and were it established there would, in my opinion, be no great benefit to European firms because their goods would be too expensive for the bulk of the population."

The Government of India, on perusal of the report of Girlestone came to the conclusion that the value of the trade with Tibet was over-estimated and the difficulties, both physical and political, that impeded its development were under-estimated. The Government also did not consider it desirable, so long as the Chinese refused to allow commercial intercourse with Tibet to incur expenditure in constructing roads through Sikkim, as suggested by the Government of Bengal. Despatch of a mixed official and commercial mission to Tibet without reference to the Court of Peking, as suggested by the British Minister there, was also considered inexpedient. In 1879, the Secretary of State conveyed that the trade with Nepal and Tibet was of a "very small dimension", asserted that it was "capable of considerable development" and pressed for the consideration of any steps for the furtherance of this trade. The tariff policy and other restrictive measures of the Darbar, it was said, hampered the development of this trade. Both the Resident at Nepal and the Government of Bengal had appraised two years ago that the trade was not capable of considerable development and in so far as the traffic was concerned, the aim of the Darbar had much been exaggerated. The Government of the North Western Provinces and Oudh also had maintained that there was "very little room for a larger trade than now exists."

Girlestone, in reply to the despatch of the Secretary of State, reiterated that the trade with Tibet must always play insignificant an account of the long and strenuous journey along the land route and also due to sparse population of Tibet. He too advocated the use of the Sikkim route as it had "fewest physical difficulties" and "shorter and better communication with the sea port (Calcutta) than the routes starting from
North-West Provinces. As to the trade via Nepal, he reported, "There is nothing to be gained in promoting trade through Nepal, not only the roads are so bad, but because we cannot hope, with our present understanding, to get a surrender of the transit duties which are an additional restriction on it." The Government of the North-West Provinces and Oudh, too, again reported that there was little hope of any immediate development of trade. This conclusion was based on the data furnished by the district officers of Kumaun, Bareilly, Kheri, Bahraich, Gonda, Basti and Gorakhpur. The district officer of Deoria Dan expressed "great doubt" whether, even supposing a great road was completed through the Nilang pass to enable ponies etc. to pass and duties levied by the Rajah of Tehri removed, the trade with Tibet would increase to any great extent.

Discordant views were expressed at various levels and on different occasions regarding the potentiality of trade between India, Nepal and Tibet. So, it was thought desirable to have some common opinions as to the means of improving this traffic. Girdleston proposed to E. Buck, Director, Department of Agriculture and Commerce, North Western Provinces and Oudh that there should be a conference to discuss this subject. The Bengal Government declined to be represented at such conference, which, it thought, would not be of much practical good. The Government of North Western Provinces and Oudh also argued that such conference would be of no avail. Buck agreed to be present at Fyubad on 25 January 1886. Apart from Girdleston and Buck, the following officers were present in the conference:—R. Speeding, Collector, Gorakhpur; R. De Montmorency, Deputy Commissioner, Bahraich; F. Woodburn, Deputy Commissioner, Fyubad; J. Hooper, Assistant Commissioner, Gonda; E. Wood, Conservator, Oudh Forests and D. Pitcher, Assistant Commissioner, Lucknow. One of the main questions considered here was the effect of Nepalese transit duties on the through trade to Tibet.

The Fyubad conference came to the conclusion that through traffic to Tibet or, a large scale was neither probable nor practicable under existing circumstances. The Resident submitted in this conference that there was no practicable trade route through Nepal to Tibet from the North-Western Provinces. Even if it was possible to develop such a route, it would strike a part of Tibet "too sparsely populated to be of any value from a commercial point of view." The question of
Tibetan trade was considered with reference to Kumaun as well. Within last two or three years, no imports of any importance had been brought to Kumaun except salt and a little gold. Benares trade had also failed. The part of Tibet which lay above Kumaun could not supply wool in sufficient quantities.

The potentiality of tea trade was also discussed with special emphasis. The proceedings of the conference laid down, "The question of supplying Indian tea for Central Asia was one which should at no time be neglected, and all means should be taken to afford it every possible opening." The Resident undertook to procure samples of the tea in use in Eastern Tibet "with a view to ascertaining the practicability of manufacturing similar quantities in Kumaun."

On 12 July 1880 some of the important findings of the Fyzabad Conference were communicated to the Secretary of State by the Government of India. This communication was conveyed in reply to the despatch of the former No. 13 (Statistics and Commerce) dated 23 January, 1879. Though this despatch enquired of the specific condition of Nepal trade, it contained implicit suggestions on the problem of the development of Tibetan trade also. In fact, the Fyzabad Conference took due notice of it and laid down some conclusions discussed above. The reply of the Government of India to the Secretary of State referred to many of the decisions of this Conference along with its own opinion but it omitted to mention a single word on the question of Central Asian trade via Nepal. This omission is significant. It is quite likely that the Government of India too at this stage did not seriously take into consideration the feasibility of the Tibetan trade via Nepal.

The question of supplying Indian tea for Central Asia was stressed by the Fyzabad conference. According to the decision of the conference the Resident on 11 July 1880 asked for specimens of the tea in general demand in the provinces of U, Tung and Kham "with a view of enabling the tea-gardens in British India to manufacture tea in accordance with the taste of the Thibetans."

The Darbar informed the Resident that the samples of tea had been called for from Lhasa. The Report of the Director of Agriculture and Commerce on the foreign trade of the North West Provinces and Oudh for 1881-82 noticed the total exclusion of Indian tea from the Nepalese market. "In order to retain the profits they derive from the article of consumption within their own territories," the Director pointed out, "the Chinese have directly forbidden the export of Indian tea into Thibet and succeeded by their
influence in excluding it from Nepal. The Resident reported that the tea generally was not considered as a good business by the Nepalese traders. The foreign traders at Kathmandu were only allowed to deal in certain specified articles. Tea was not included in the license of any one of these. He also referred to "the obstacles which the Chinese jealousy would interpose" to frustrate any attempt to introduce tea through Nepal into Tibet. He did not think that there was a big opening for tea in or through Nepal.

The report of the external land trade of Bengal for 1881-82 mentioned that brick tea of Tibet was always available for purchase in Darjeeling being brought all the way from the Chinese frontier. The Finance Department of the Government of India also guessed that the imports from Nepal though classified in the trade returns as "India tea" was really Chinese brick tea. These disturbing findings prompted the Government of the North West Provinces and Oudh to urge that "something should be done to relax the total exclusion of tea from the Nepalese market." The Government of India, however, did not consider the present suitable time for addressing the Nepalese Government on the subject. Thus a very small plan aiming at the markets of Central Asia fell through.

A small step failed. The proposal for a big leap was in the offing. A bunch of documents procured from the Nepal Residency and now in the possession of National Archives of India, New Delhi, throws much light on this big leap.

On June 25, 1889, F. Prestage, the Chairman of the Darjeeling Himalayan Railway Company, informed the Resident that the Directors of the said Company were seriously thinking of making proposals to the Nepal Government to extend the Darjeeling Himalayan Railway from about two and a half miles down the line from the terminus along the Ghoom ridge to Manibhanjyang, or the base of Poon Hill Tumari to Dhankate, the confluence of Kosi and Arun on the east end of the Kathmandu Valley about 13 miles in length. In brief, the said line would ultimately be extended along the Singgai Raote to the most suitable point to take off from the east end of the Kathmandu Valley. The said point was about three miles west of Tongluo.

In a further communication dated 1 October 1889, Prestage expressed his assurance to the Resident that the Government of India were "very much afloat in trying to open a trade-route with Lhasa"
at the point of bayonets by the Jelup La. "We should avoid the capital of Dalai Lama", he suggested, "and strike through Nepal to the pastures to the north-west of the Arun Valley."

Prestage contended that Lhasa itself was not a place of trade. It was "a stronghold of the most obstructive ecclesiastics in Central Asia." They were in possession of the districts between Lhasa and the North East frontier of India along the Jelup La route. This route could be opened up and maintained "at the point of bayonets" only, he said, "every shot fired by us" argued Prestage, "tends to retard rather than advance direct and free trade with them."

Moreover, Lhasa was "as much under the influence of Peking as ever. The armed resistance by the Tibetans against the British advance by the Jelup La made it clear that the British were not welcome by that route. Even the Bhutanese were giving 'more than friendly aid' to the invading Tibetans. They were determined not to have their highways disturbed.

The distance of "Tingri Maidan" (the centre of the pasture and wool producing areas in Tibet) was only 263 miles from the terminus of Darjeeling-Himalayan Railway at Darjeeling and 110 miles from Tingri to Lhasa (the proposed terminus of the Neatal extension of the Darjeeling Himalayan Railway) and only 116 miles from Tingri to Lhasa. The footways meander through Tibet and for the greater part of the distance are very high from the base of the mountains. In Sikkim, too, there are continuous ascents and descents. Compared to these, the proposed railway promised some distinct advantages.

The importance of this Arun Valley route can hardly be overestimated. The most valuable trade was conducted through the Chinese Tartary and Tibet up and down the Arun Valley..."then via Dingri the road serving North West Tibet and the plateau and pastures to the west of Shigatse..." By this road most valuable theses came from the west of Shigatse and towards Cashmere." In fact, the direct route from the Central and Western Province of Tibet (the largest and best wool producing areas) to India passed through Nepal by the Arun Valley route.

Prestage suggested also that in the first instance a bridge could be made across the frontier into Nepal, by Lam or by the best route into the Tamur Valley to Dhadhikot, an important market at the confluence.
of the Kosi, Arun and Tumkur rivers and the Arun Valley and Kathmandu roads. From this point the valuable products of the Kathmandu and Arun Valleys, Tibet and countries beyond that would be drawn and a very heavy and growing traffic would be secured.

He was convinced that the proposed railway extension would surely bring a miscellany of advantages to both Nepal and India. In his reports dated, Darjeeling 14 August, 1888; dated, London, 14 August, 1888; and 2 July 1889 he insisted the gains to both the countries which are called below:

Gains to Nepal.

1. Nepal would receive all the benefits of cheaper and quicker transport that a railway could offer.

2. In case of famine or scarcity, the Railway would directly pour into Nepal Valley the surplus foodgrains from the Kangpur and Dinagepore districts and other districts of North Bengal at cheap rates.

3. It would lead out the surplus produce to the nearest markets and lead in imports of all kinds. This would surely augment the productive capacity, comfort and prosperity of the people.

4. Almost all the hill slopes traversed by the proposed railway would be converted into highly productive tea gardens at the most suitable elevations 3000 feet to 9000 feet. It was estimated that the value of the land would be increased more than hundred fold. Assuming that only five miles on each side of the line were brought under cultivation, it would bring a permanent increase of revenue to the state of the large sum of Rs 1,60,000 per annum.

5. The railway would specially serve the rich fertile valley of the Myong and the highly cultivated valley of the Timbou. This would increase the prospect of permanent revenues of the state.

6. By the extension and the improved approach to the terminus of the Arun Valley, the very growing trade with Tibet would be permanently secured to Nepal. Moreover, a large and very growing trade in wool would add much to the prosperity of all the Trans-Himalayan states concerned with its transit.
1. The surplus labour in Nepal could be absorbed in profitable employments in construction, maintenance and working of the railway and also in the transport of heavy traffic which was likely to increase.

2. The railway works would further develop the already great capacity of the Nepalese people to as useful artisans and reliable workmen and enable them to command better pay.

3. "Chil" could be planted in the vacant suitable slopes along the railway at about 6,000 ft. altitude. This would give excellent charcoal for working the proposed railway, the D.H. Railway and also for drying tea in the Darjeeling district. This would develop into a growing important permanent source of revenue.

4. Cheel pith (the eastern chill) on the Myong ridges was abundant. The hill was also profusely clothed with oaks and other trees. So, the charcoal and wood would be available forthwith midway along the extension line.

5. Gains to India and England.

6. The gradients of the slopes of the Myong Valley would be easy to traverse on account of the availability of ample fuel mid-way on the line. So, the cost of hasting of the D.H. Railway would be low and the rates should also be so.

7. The cost of transport from the wool pastures of Tibet (13,000 ft) to the proposed terminus of the railway (3000 ft) would be only one-third of that by the Lhasa and Sikkim routes.

8. The total cost of laying down the wool in Calcutta by the Arun Valley route would surely assure good profit to all concerned.

9. The Government of India would be saved the enormous cost of improving the most unkind trade-route via Lhasa. Continuous and costly political complications might also thus be avoided.

10. The Nepalese people are most capable, intelligent and kindly, it would be a pleasure to be associated with them through this venture.

11. The expansion of trade between Ghoomp station and Nepal was most encouraging. The increase of traffic in 6 years was shown to
be 45 per cent. It was cheering to note the perceptible increase of traffic on all roads leading to the frontier.

7. From Nepal, the Government of India would be able to recruit the Gorkha soldiers and also to draw the skilled and intelligent labour required more particularly for working railways in the plains.

8. It would not be necessary to keep troops at the distant outpost at Ghetong.

9. Calcutta in particular would benefit from the increase of valuable exports, particularly wool.

10. Subject to the stipulations of contract, the Darbar would be persuaded to grant, free of cost, the use of the waste land upon which the line would be constructed, to permit to fell useless timber en route within an area to be agreed to and also to allow the exclusive right to all timber grown on useless and demuded areas within an agreed distance from the railway. The gain thus accrued would be immense. First class timber for railway construction and maintenance purposes would be available at moderate cost. Match making and many other industries would be started. Tea-chests would no longer be imported from Japan, even into the Darjeeling district. All these would tend to keep money in the country and stiffen exchange.

11. The companies like "Bradford" and "Saltaire" of England would get much the superior staple wool. This would give them advantages over continental manufacturers. 46

The Secretary to the Government of India in a letter dated 20 September, 1889 informed Prestage that the Government of India had no objection to his making any exploration in Nepal. He was instructed to obtain the sanction of the Darbar and was asked to take no active steps without first consulting the British Resident.

The Resident informed Prestage on 9 October, 1889 that the proposal for an extension of the D.H. railway into Nepal "was laid before the Darbar but was met by a distinct refusal to entertain even a preliminary survey."

Prestage was informed by the resident on 1 March, 1890 that no concession from the Darbar could be expected "till some radical
change in their 'Exclusive' policy is made," On 4 November, 1869, the Secretary to the Government of Nepal informed him "the Darbar's inability to comply with your proposal for the construction of the Railway into this country." Thus the idea of a big leap to reach the heart of Central Asia ended in fiasco.

Towards the close of the nineteenth century, the doubtful lure of the Tibetan market gave way to other "Imperial exigencies." (An expression used by Brodrick on a later occasion.) The enchanting land of saw returns "the modern Brynhibi, sleesh on her mountain top" and British Siegfried failed to awaken her in the nineteenth century. The keynote of the British policy towards Central Asia during this period may be stated in the following words: "We, on the other hand, can well afford to wait an opportunity, and need not risk the substantial gain of our Entente Cordiale with China by clutching too eagerly at the problematic chances of Tibetan markets." In fact, as pointed out by the Director of Statistics, the British Commercial intercourse with Tibet upto 1900-01 was "still of the slightest." Alastair Lamb also has surmised that "the figures of trade between India and Tibet before 1922 were no higher than those for latter part of the 18th century." The opening of the Jelep pass route in 1879 and the railway to Darjeeling in 1881 did not yield any spectacular result. He has also demonstrated that the value of Tibetan trade was "not much higher" than that of the trade between Bengal and Bhutan. It pales into insignificance when compared with that for trade with Nepal. "The trade of Bengal with Nepal was between 20 and 25 times as great as that with Tibet." It is true that from the standpoint of the British Government the potentiality of the Sikkim route was magnified and that of Nepal route was minimised. But, strangely enough, the Nepal route had a decisively prominent role in the ebb and flow of the Central Asian trade. Though actual figures are lacking, Lamb underlines the fact that "...... Probably much more trade between India and Tibet went by Nepal than by any other route............."

NOTES

Unless otherwise stated all MS. references are to records, Foreign Department, National Archives of India, New Delhi.
2. R. Sharma, "Kaviraj’s Athawas, Mysore," 1953.
11. Markham, op. cit., liv.
12. Ibid., p. 48.
13. Ibid., p. 124.
14. For more information regarding the Gonsain see Markham, op. cit., p. 174.
   O’Malley (Ed.) Bengal District Gazetteers: Champaran, Calcutta, 1907, pp. 16-17.

36
15. Markham, op. cit. p. 118.
Regmi, op. cit., pp. 149-149.
S. C. Sarkar, "Some Notes on the intercourse of Bengal with the Northern Countries in the second half of the 18th Century" *Bengal Past and Present*, Vol. XII, 1931, pp. 119-126.
19. Markham, op. cit., chap. XVIII, p. 705.
Abdul Kadir suggested direct trade relation with China and Tibet without intervention of Nepal.
22. Lamb, op. cit., p. 35.
27. Ibid, p. 96.
*Bengal Administration Report for 1862-1864*, pp. 178-181
30. Ibid., p. 181.
32. Ibid., p. 11.
33. Ibid.
34. Ibid., p. 12.
35. Ibid for 1872-73. p. 49.
36. Ibid., p. 45.
41. Pol A. Feb. 1875, No. 34. Atchison, Secretary to the Government of India, Foreign Department to Thompson, Secretary to the Government of Bengal No. 278 dated 25 January 1875.
44. Rev. A. Aug. 1877 Nos. 28 and 29.
47. Pol A. March 1880 No. 300. From Director of the Department of Agriculture and Commerce, N.W. Provinces and Oudh to Robertson, Secretary to the Government N.W. Provinces and Oudh. No. 1252 C.C.S.V. dated 11 October 1879.
47. Pol A, March 1880 No. 301.
D. O. from Giriditendu to Rall dated 28 December 1879.
49. Pol A, March 1880 No. 129 from Robertson, Secretary to Government, North West Provinces and Oudh to Rall No. 1204 dated 31 October 1880.
51. Ibid.
52. Pol A, July 1880 No. 198 from Government of India to the Secretary of State No. 76 dated 13 July 1880.
54. Pol A, Dec. 1880 No. 45 Yaddash from the Darbar to the Resident dated 7 August 1880.
55. Pol E, Jan 1883 No. 180-181 K. W.
57. Pol E, January 1883 No. 180-81 L. O. W. 1 note dated 30.11.82 in the K. W.
58. Pol E, January 1883 No. 180 Reiel, Cllf Secretary to the Government of N. W. Provinces and Oudh to Grant, Secretary to the Government of India, Foreign Department No. 1929 dated 1 November 1882.
59. Pol E, January 1883 No. 181 Durand to Reid No. 11 dated 4 January 1883.
62. C. L. Datta, “Significance of Shawl-Wool Trade in Western Himalayan Politics”, Bengal Past and Present Vol. LXXXIX 1976 pp 16-26. Even in the last quarter of the nineteenth century, the demand for Tibetan wool was irresistible. A letter from Babu Pratap Chandra Ghosh dated 30 December 1887 stated, "There, however, cannot be any question as to the quality of Tibet
wool generally. They are superior in fineness and length of staple to any foreign wool brought to India. But one must not forget that the value of the wool, provided it be fine in texture, is regulated woollly and entirely by the degree of its cleanliness. Tibet wool is the only superior wool which is used by the shawl makers, both in and out of India”.

Moreover, from the Transactions of the Agri-Horticultural Society of India, it can be gleaned that its traffic from Nepal and through Nepal from Tibet was spoken of as capable of great expansion.


63. Ibid., p. XIV.


66. Ibid., p. 345.

67. Ibid., p. 215.

68. Ibid., pp. 345-46.

69. Ibid., p. 346.